

CONFIDENTIAL

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 15 November 1973

Page 2

25X1 3. [] Called Al Tarabochia, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, and told him I had hoped to see him before this but wanted him to know that, although he and I were both working under necessary constraints, we were working on a common problem with respect to [] He 25X1 seemed to get the message that we were both working on material on [] which would go to Senator Symington and the Senate Armed Services Committee. Tarabochia reviewed some of the material they had on [] including 25X1 much of a published document the Subcommittee has put out on his involvement with Castro. He said he wanted to cooperate with us in any way possible and said that anything he had was available to us.

He also mentioned (I assume from information he has gotten from the Armed Services Committee staff) that [] apparently is getting edgy 25X1 about his appearance before the Committee on Friday. He has asked about right of counsel and pressed the staff as to what kind of questions will be asked him.

25X1 4. [] Called George Murphy, ~~Joint Committee on Atomic Energy staff, and~~ talked with him about my conversation with Jim Woolsey, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, yesterday as it related to the 5 November 1973 letter from Colby to Symington and the personal response of Dick Helms to Symington's inquiry about recent allegations that there was a conflict between his testimony and his memorandum of 28 June 1972. I told him that Woolsey had mentioned that on instructions from Senator Symington he had shown these documents to Senator Baker and Murphy. I also mentioned the request that Dave Dorsen, Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities staff, had made to [] for copies 25X1 of Colby's correspondence to Symington (presumably referring to the 6 November 1973 memorandum and the 28 June 1972 Helms memorandum which Symington published). I told Murphy that since he and Senator Baker had seen the earlier letter and the Helms response I felt that the Watergate Committee was on notice of the existence of these documents and could request them if they wished copies.

CONFIDENTIAL